Scott. II-16—55,006—3-9-53.

M

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Agriculture (1954.) Department.

~~Endorsement~~
~~D.O.~~
~~Memorandum~~
~~Lodged~~

Letter No. 44595 Agri DI/54-1

Dated 8/8/1954.

Passed on
Supdt.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Department.	Number.	Series.	Date.
Community projects — South Kanara project Area — working of the Community project.			

44595 DI/54

1

6304

K.S. Hegde, M.A.B.L.,
MEMBER OF
THE COUNCIL OF STATES

सत्यमेव जयते

K.S. Rao Road,
Mangalore 1.

20th January 1954

DEPUTY SECRETARY (C.P.) AGRICULTURE

ACCOUNTS AND SPECIAL OFFICER
28 JAN 1954
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

My dear Sri. Bhaktavatsalam,

Sub: Working of the Community Project.

D.S.(C.P.)
This might be examined and put up.
M. Bhaktavatsalam
27.1.54

Very urgent
Ur
27.1.54

44595

We are extremely glad to note that ever since you took over the portfolio of Community Project there has been a distinct improvement in the working of the Project. But still it cannot be said that the working efficiency has reached the optimum point. There are many administrative snags. Apart from the administrative impediments there are certain basic defects without the removal of which the plan cannot smoothly work and achieve the maximum result. In fact the progress that we have made uptill now is far from encouraging. May I suggest for your consideration the appointment of a small Committee for going into the working of the Projects in the State and consider

(1) Whether there should be any alteration in the basic plan itself in the light of the experience gained and taking into consideration local conditions ?

(2) Whether there should not be further delegation of power to local authorities ?

(3) What exactly is the machinery that should be constituted for the purpose of coordinating the developmental activities of the different departments in the project area,

(4) such other matters as you may consider proper.

2) Coming to the Project in South Kanara, you are aware that the peak period of our work is between October and May. Once the rainy season starts the work will have to be considerably slowed down. We have an efficient Assistant Engineer working

under the Community Project administration. But he has been greatly handicapped for want of necessary staff and conveyance. In fact in the last meeting of the Project Executive we had passed a resolution requesting the Government to sanction a Jeep and two clerical assistants to him. The Assistant Engineer is a young man of great energy and drive and he has been extremely useful. If you are pleased to give him the conveyance and the staff suggested by the Committee I am sure that he will be able to turn out very much better work. In fact it would be sufficient even if you could give it for a short period i.e. for the period ending 30th of May. We had a meeting of the Project Executive Committee yesterday. The matter was again discussed and the members of the Committee noted with regret that the Government has yet not been able to appreciate the requirement. Will you kindly give your personal attention to this matter ?

3)Mr. T.A. Pai, M.L.A., told me that he had written to you to extend the National Extension Scheme to the Kapu revenue firka. The said firka is adjoining Mulki and other Community project centres. It would be useful if you could extend the National Extension Scheme to that firka so that we could coordinate in some measure the work of the Community Project and the National Extension. Possibly you could entrust the work also to the Project staff itself. Kindly examine the matter.

4)I was anxiously hoping that you would be able to visit this district in this month. I shall be here till about the middle of February and I shall be extremely happy if you find it convenient to come over here some time in the first week of February and see for yourself the working of the Project.

With best wishes for a useful year,

I remain,
Yours sincerely,

(K.S.Hegde) 24/1

Hon'ble Sri. M. Bhakthavatsalam,
Minister for Agriculture,
Government of Madras,
Fort St. George,
Madras.

Agri. D. I

Lr. No. 44595/54-1 Agri. D. I dt 8.6.54

To

Sri. K.S. Hegde, M.A. B.L.,
Member, The Cl. of States
(New Delhi), K.S. Rao Road,
MANGALORE. (S. Kanara Dt.)

11/6

Pl. issue

8/6/54

Sir,

Sub: C.P. - S. Kanara Project Area - Working of the C.P.

Fr. 1. 3, C.F. Ref. your letter d. 20.1.54.

W.r. to the ~~suggestions~~ points raised in your letter cited,

PP. 1.3. C.F.

I am directed to state as follows:- ~~that~~

(1) The P.E.O. has sent a revised overall programme in the light of experience gained. This is under scrutiny;

[illegible]

(2) There has been sufficient delegation of powers to local ~~authorities~~ officers. e.g.

(P.T.O)

The Asst. Engineer of each project Area is vested with powers to accord technical sanction to estimates for works upto Rs. 5000/- The Govt. have recently enhanced the powers of P.E.Os to accord administrative approval to works from Rs. 2000/- to Rs. 5000/- to guarantee speedier execution.

(E) G.O. Ms. 1231 Agri d 15-4-54

(F) G.O. Ms 132 Agri. d 12-1-54

(3) Co-ordination of the activities of the various depts. is a problem ~~to be~~ which is being tackled.

(4) The qn. of sanctioning addl. staff to the Asst. Engrs is separately under consideration.

6/6/54

7.6.54

8/6/54

4459/53

AGRI.(DI) Dept.

Sub: Community Projects - South Kanara
Project Area - working of the
Community Project.

Ref: From Sri Hegde, Member, Council of
States (New Delhi), Mangalore
letter dated 20-1-1954.

***

To A.S.

Please see the letter from Sri K.S.Hegde in the c.f. and the minutes thereon of M.(A).

2. The Member, Council of States has stated that there are many administrative snags in the working of the Community Projects Scheme in his district and that apart from the administrative impediments, there are certain basic defects without the removal of which the plan cannot smoothly work and achieve the maximum result. Therefore, the member suggests that a small committee may be appointed for going into the working of the project in the State and consider the following points:-

1) whether there should be any alteration in the basic plan itself in the light of the experience gained and taking into consideration local conditions?

2) whether there should not be further delegation of power to local authorities?

3) what exactly is the machinery that should be constituted for the purpose of co-ordinating the developmental activities of the different departments in the project areas.

4) such other matter as you may consider proper.

ITEM (1) ABOVE. The point is not clear whether a revision in the programme of Community Development or the overall plan for the Project is called for. If it is the latter it may be pointed out that the overall plan has been drawn up only after an exhaustive ~~generally~~ survey of the local conditions

44

and any alteration at this stage may not be necessary or called for.

ITEM 2 ABOVE.

Under the existing delegation the following officers are empowered to accord sanction for works without reference to Govt.

a. Project Executive Officer upto Rs.5000/-
b. Collector of the District upto Rs.10,000/-.
c. Director of Community Projects upto Rs.25,000/-.

(F)
'A' on p.22 / (T)
'B' on p.1 / (T)

G.O. Ms. No. 2449
[illegible] 11.5.5[illegible]

The P.E.O. is on the spot and most of the work estimates are small. He gives sanction to the estimates upto the limit of his powers. When the limit is exceeded he addresses and gets the sanction of the Collector. As President of the Project Advisory Committee the Collector carries enough responsibilities for speedy execution and his powers are high enough to sanction small number of estimates which are sent to him. Cases beyond the limit of Rs.~~25~~ 10,000/- are far and few and immediately estimates are received from the P.E.O. after technical ~~security~~ scrutiny, they are sanctioned by the D.C.P. The question of further enlargement of powers so as to cover schemes as well (as against works) has also been taken up arising out of a letter received from the C.P.A.

Separate note?

The Asst. Engineer of each Project Area is vested with powers to accord technical sanction to estimates for works upto Rs.5,000/-. From the actual working of the Project it is seen that the powers now exercised by the P.E.Os. are adequate to achieve the speedy implementation of the Programme. It is also submitted that the Govt. have recently enhanced the powers of P.E.Os. to accord administrative approval to works from Rs.2,000/-. to Rs.5,000/-. to guarantee

(E) G.O. Ms. No. 1231 Agri 15.4.54

(F) G.O. Ms. No. 132 Agri 12.1.54

speedier execution. No action appears called for.

ITEM No.3 ABOVE:

The Project Advisory Committee and the Project Executive Committee have been constituted for effecting co-ordination between the different departments in the Project Area. The P.E.O. as the Secretary of the Committee and the Collector as Chairman ensure unified approach. The suggestion of Sri. Hegde does not offer any concrete ~~approach~~ suggestion as an alternative. No action is called for.

ITEM NO.4. ABOVE.

It is submitted that there are no other matters for consideration of the Govt. at present. Hence no action is called for on this.

PARA-3. on page 3 c.f.

The question of extending the National Extension Service to ~~keep further~~ Kaup firka will be considered at the proper time. An extract will be added to that file.

PARA-4 on page 2-3 c.f.

An invitation to Minister for Agriculture to visit South Kanara. No action.

5-5-54

May be circulated to the M(A) w.r.t. his minutes on p.1 c.f.

6.5.54

Ex[illegible] taken for reference action on this [illegible]

Thro' D-VII and D-VI with reference to para 2 in the C.F.

4459 5/54

Agri DVI

Para 2 of the D.O. on pp 1-2 c.f.

No Asstt. Engineer of any other Area has been provided with a separate Jeep. There are 3 Jeeps in the S.Kanara Area. It is quite possible for the P.E.O. to arrange to keep the Jeep at the disposal of the A.E. when it is required by him, by judiciously programming the ~~tours~~ of his officers." No justifiable grounds have been adduced for the supply of a Jeep to the Asst. Engineer. This may perhaps need not be pressed.

The Qn. of sanctioning addl. staff to the ~~Asst.~~ Engr. referred to ~~on p~~ in para 2 of the letter in the c.f. is being dealt with separately. This may be circulated to the M (A) for information. A suitable reply will be sent to Sri. H. S. Hegde. /A

12.5.54.

17/5/54

Ad. S

I must apologise for the long delay in the office in putting up this paper. Suitable action is being ~~[illegible]~~ taken against those responsible.

(1) The P.E.O. has submitted a revised ~~overall~~ programme

Rl.
This has been scandalously delayed in office. The concerned persons should explain separately

17/5/54

~~Sub~~ Extracts taken for separate action
4.6.54

Supdt. [illegible] :
Sri M.A. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

The file was mixed with other [illegible] [illegible]

44595/54
1

in the light of experience. This is under scrutiny in the office.

(2). There has been sufficient delegation of power to local authorities

(3) Coordination of the activities of the various depts is a problem which is being tackled.

M(A) recently visited the dt. and has a first hand knowledge of the conditions there.

N S Raghavan
4/6/54

M(A)

M. Bhaktavatsalam
5/6/54

110
5/6/54

Agri. DI

Notes and orders in circulation above:- A draft letter to Sri. K.S. Hegde, (M., Cl. of States) Mangalore is submitted for approval, with reference to 'A' on P.4 above.

6-6-54 7-6-54.

8/6/54

(~~Issued as letter~~ No-44595 Agri DI/54-1
8/6/54)

9/8/54 (1)

M

Agriculture 1954

Agri (D V) Dept.

Memo. No. 46892/54-1
23.4.54.

Community projects – S. Kanara project area – Flush out pit type of latrine – Construction of.

Passed
B
Supdt.

Ref.2265/54-C.

Project Executive Office.S.K.,
Mangalore, dated 7-4-1954.

From
Sri.H.Narasimha,
Project Executive Officer,
Mangalore.

To
The Director of Community Projects,
MADRAS.

ACCOUNTS AND SPECIAL OFFICER
12 APR 1954
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Sir,

Sub: Community Projects - S.Kanara Sanitary convenience - Flush out pit type of latrine - Providing of in the Bantwal Range - Health Inspector's Office - Demonstration purpose - Sanction of grant.

I submit herewith a copy of the letter Ref.637-B.54 dated 28-3-1954 of the District Health Officer, Mangalore. There is no specific provision in the over-all programme for the item proposed by the District Health Officer. This Community Project is distributing water closets at half rate and the proposed demonstration latrine will be helpful to the villagers in giving an idea of the improved type of latrine. I, therefore, recommend that a sum of Rs.150/- may be sanctioned for the construction of the latrine. The expenditure can be met from the provision of Rs.20,000/- available under contingencies for sanitation.

Yours faithfully,

H Narasimha 8.4.54

Project Executive Officer.

T/8-4-1954.

3

Copy of the letter Ref.C.No.637-54-B dated 28-3-54 from the Dt. Health Officer, South Kanara to the Project Executive Officer, Mangalore.

- - -

Sub: Sanitation - Sanitary convenience - Flushout pit type latrine - Providing of in the Bantwal Range Health Inspector's Office - Demonstration purpose.

...

It is proposed to have a flushout pit type of latrine constructed on the verandah of the office of the Health Inspector of Bantwal for the purpose of demonstration, thereby giving an idea of its proper working and use, as construction of this type of latrine by the people is to be encouraged

I request you to let me know whether the cost can be borne by the Community Project as Bantwal comes under the Community Project area. The cost may come to about Rs.150/-.

I request an early reply in the matter.

- - -

/ True Copy /

PROJECT EXECUTIVE OFFICER. 8/4

6
8/4

Agri(D.V) Deptt.

Memo. No. 46892 DV/54-1   dt. 23-4-54.

Sub:- C.Ps – S. Kanara Project area – Flush out pit type of latrine – construction of.

Ref:- From the P.E.O. Mangalore Ry. 2265/54

---x---   dt 7.4.54.

Pl. issue.
23/4

P/cy.

The P.E.O. Mangalore is ~~empowered~~ permitted to ~~that he may himself~~ sanction ~~the amount~~ expenditure upto Rs 150/- (Rs one hundred and fifty only) xxx towards the construction of flush out pit type of latrine in the ~~intended~~ Health Inspectors office for demonstration purposes if ~~the office is a~~ it is located in a Government building ~~public / road~~.

xxx based on necessary detailed estimates }

23.4.54

P.T.O.

26/4

To
The P.E.O. Mangalore
S. Kanara

20.4.54

Copy to A G
SF - Copy to D VIII (Tech).
Copy to D VII

6

Note:- Please see the letter on p.1 f.

The P.E.O. Mangalore states that there is no provision in the overall programme for the above purpose. Hence he has come up to Govt for sanction. It is not known whether the building in question ~~is a public one~~ belongs to Government. The P.E.O. may be permitted to incur the above expenditure if the building is a public one. A draft memo is subd for approval.

DS
20.4.54 21/4/54

21/4/54

Us
23.4.54

Us
23.4.54

Thro DV III

21-4-54

[Issued as memo. No. 46892 DV/54-1 dt 23-4-54] p 5 ante

Ref.C.No.2265/54.C.

Project Executive Office, S.K,
Mangalore, dated 25-7-1954.

From
Sri.H.Narasimha,
Project Executive Officer,
Mangalore.

To
The Director of Community Projects
& Ex.Officio Addl. Secretary to
Government, Madras.

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT.
30 JUL 1954
439
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT

Sir,

Community Projects--South Kanara--Flush-~~and~~ out pit type of latrine--Construction of--Regarding.

Ref: Govt.Memo No.46892 D.V/54-1 dated 23-4-1954.

The office of the Health Inspector, Buntwal Range of the Regular Department is located in a District Board building. In the Govt.Memo cited, I have been permitted to sanction expenditure upto Rs.150/- for the construction of a flush-out pit type latrine in the Health Inspector's office for demonstration purpose, if the office is located in a Govt.building.

Under the above circumstances, I solicit~~e~~ instructions whether the latrine may be constructed in the building in question. The Health Inspectors working under the Community Project have no office in any Govt. building.

Yours faithfully,

H.Narasimha 27.7.54

Project Executive Officer.

JC/26-7-54.

26/7

Public (RDP)-V Deptt.

Memo No. 439 Pub.(RDP)-V/54-1 d/- 11-9-54.

Sub:- COMMUNITY PROJECTS - S.Kanara Project area - Flush out pit type of latrine - construction of.

Ref:- From the P.E.O., Mangalore Ref.C.No.2265/54-C dated 25-7-54.

Pl. issue
14/9

P.Y.

CL. TRA

Late 15.9.P.

The P.E.O., Mangalore is informed that the flush-out-pit type latrine may be constructed in the office of the Health Inspector, Buntwal Range, located in the Dt.Board building, ~~if~~ as it can be used for demonstration purposes.

10/9

10/9/54

11/9/54

11.9.54

To the P.E.O., Mangalore.
s.f.

NOTE:

p. 1 of C

p 19.

Please see the letter from the P.E.O., Mangalore in the c.f. He was permitted to construct the flush-out-pit type latrine in the Halth Inspector's Office for demonstration purposes, if it is located in a Govt.building. Now he states that the office of the Health Inspector is now located in the Dt.Board Building and requests orders whether the latrine may be constructed in the above building. As it is stated that it will be used for demonstration purposes he may ~~sometimes~~ be permited to construct the latrine. Subject to orders the above draft memo is submitted for approval.

10/9

10/9/54

11/9/54

11.9.54